TISSUE GLYCOGEN OF MYLABRIS PUSTULATA THUNB. AND PERIPLANETA AMERICANA L. (INSECTA)

Dalbinder Singh Sidhu, Surinder Pal Kaur and Nirmal Kumar

Abstract.—The glycogen contents in the fat bodies, thoracic muscles, foregut, midgut, hindgut, ovaries and testes of Mylabris pustulata and Periplaneta americana have been studied. In M. pustulata, the major depot of glycogen contents (3.42 mg/g) has been noted in the fat bodies, while it is not so in P. americana. The midgut of both insects under investigation shows a higher level of glycogen than the rest of the tissues except fat bodies. In M. pustulata, the glycogen contents of ovaries are at a higher level than that of testes. In P. americana, the glycogen reserves are comparatively higher in ovaries and thoracic muscles than M. pustulata. The glycogen contents. It is known that insects contain digestive amylase in the midgut ferences (P > 0.05) while the rest of the tissues contain significantly different contents (P < 0.05).

Introduction

Glycogen, an important carbohydrate reserve in insects, is the first source of energy to be utilized under stress of starvation (Strauss 1911; Hill and Goldsworthy 1970). Most of the information with regard to the glycogen reserves in insects, available until recently, deals with its estimations from the whole body extracts; which of course does not provide any clue regarding the capacity of different tissues to retain and synthesize this compound. Moreover, work on individual tissues permits an insect biochemist to compare his results with the mammalian tissues where experimentation is not done on the whole body extracts. The distribution of glycogen in the tissues of insects has been studied in the past only by a few workers like Yeager and Munson (1941) in insect muscles, Wigglesworth (1949) in abdominal fat body and Nemec (1977) in the ovaries. The study in hand deals with the glycogen estimations from the different tissues of Mylabris pustulata and Periplaneta americana, and clearly, the aim is to elaborate this field so that in the long run some general and coherent trend in this respect can be deduced.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "Advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1.	Distribution of glycogen in different tissues of Mylabris pustulata and Periplaneta
americana.	

	mg glycogen \pm SD/g wet tissue weight†		
Tissues	M. pustulata	P. americana	
Foregut	1.51 ± 0.032**	1.56 ± 0.016**	
Midgut	$3.17 \pm 0.110**$	$2.43 \pm 0.123**$	
Hindgut	1.49 ± 0.036	1.16 ± 0	
Ovaries	$0.781 \pm 0.004*$	1.46 ± 0.024	
Testes	0.660 ± 0	_	
Fat bodies	3.42 ± 0.012	2.12 ± 0.008	
Thoracic muscles	1.02 ± 0	1.67 ± 0.018	

[†] Each value mentioned in the table is at least an average of five determinations. SD denotes standard deviation. P values have been calculated by applying Student's t-test of significance.

Materials and Methods

Mature adults of *Mylabris pustulata* were collected from kitchen gardens, and of *Periplaneta americana* from places such as kitchens and storerooms etc. from the Campus of Punjabi University, Patiala, India. Both the insects were dissected under physiological saline to obtain tissue samples from their respective foregut, midgut, hindgut, ovaries, testes, fat bodies and thoracic muscles. The samples taken were weighed and digested independently in 30% KOH for the extraction of glycogen. For extraction, the method of Heatly (1935) was employed while the estimation of glycogen was made colorimetrically according to Montgomery (1957).

Results and Discussion

The results of estimations of glycogen from different tissues of *M. pustulata* and *P. americana* are listed in Table 1.

In *M. pustulata*, the maximum glycogen contents have been recorded in the fat bodies, which indicates that this tissue is the major storage site for this energy reserve. This finding is in accordance with the observations of Kilby (1963), Gilmour (1965) and Wyatt (1967). However, in *P. americana*, the fat body glycogen, though quite high is little less than that of its depot in midgut.

The midgut of both the insects under study is quite rich in glycogen contents. It is known that insects contain digestive amylase in the midgut which hydrolyses dietary starch and glycogen and hence the absorption of digested food material takes place in this region. Treherne (1958) in *Periplaneta* and *Schistocerca* has observed that the absorption of glucose is largely confined to the midgut caeca. Thus the presence of a somewhat high con-

^{*} P < 0.05.

^{**} *P* < 0.01.

centration of glycogen in the midgut of both the insects suggests that a portion of the glucose after absorption gets converted into glycogen in this region of the alimentary canal. Wigglesworth (1949) in *Drosophila* and Mayer and Candy (1969) in *Locusta* have also observed that the midgut cells themselves are known to contain glycogen stores or it can be said that the glycogen anabolism takes place in the midgut cells. This is perhaps because the midgut converts surplus glucose into glycogen which is not readily utilized by the insect body.

In *M. pustulata*, the glycogen contents of ovaries are at a higher level than that of testes (Table 1). This is perhaps because of the necessity of this carbohydrate for vitellogenesis and for the formation of glycosaminoglycans present in the vitelline membrane and the chorion (Rockstein 1978). The glycogen which also serves as the principal carbohydrate in yolk, is usually synthesized in the ovary from glucose and trehalose derived from the fat body and the hemolymph. Similarly, the ovaries of *P. americana* also contain high concentration of glycogen contents. Moreover, it is known that the glycogen is also synthesized in the ovaries during the terminal phase of vitellogenesis (Rockstein 1978).

A comparison between the glycogen contents of foregut of M. pustulata and P. americana shows no significant difference (P > 0.05). Generally the foregut of insects is not involved in the absorption of glucose, but in Periplaneta, the crop has been found to have little importance in the absorption of glucose (Eisner 1955). According to above mentioned author, some lipase activity exists in the crop of Periplaneta as the result of transfer of secretions from the midgut into the foregut. So the presence of newly formed fatty acids facilitate a little absorption of glucose through the crop cuticle. Thus, the presence of more glycogen contents in the foregut of P. americana confirms that some absorption also takes place in the crop region of the foregut. As the foregut of M. pustulata shows no significant difference in this energy reserve from that of P. americana, it can be suggested that the foregut region of Mylabris too might be involved in the absorption of glucose like that of Periplaneta.

The glycogen contents of thoracic muscles of P. americana are significantly higher than that of M. pustulata (P < 0.05). It has been already reported that P. americana uses carbohydrate as the major source of energy for flight (Polacek and Kubista 1960) and the glycogen reserves of thoracic musculature comprise the major source of the utilized substrate (Downer and Matthews 1976). So the presence of more glycogen in the thoracic muscles of P. americana shows that it is more efficient in using this reserve than M. pustulata.

By comparing the glycogen contents of the two insects, it is evident that there exists significant variation with respect to midgut, hindgut, ovaries, fat bodies and thoracic muscles (P < 0.05) revealing a species specific dis-

tinctness in the metabolism of this compound in these tissues. At 1% level of significance, there is no difference in the glycogen reserves of foregut, midgut and hindgut. Although at 5% level of significance, foregut is the only tissue in the two insects where there exists no variation.

Literature Cited

- Downer, R. G. H. and J. R. Matthews. 1976. Glycogen depletion of thoracic musculature during flight in *Periplaneta americana* L. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 55B:501–502.
- Eisner, T. 1955. The digestion and absorption of fats in the foregut of the cockroach, *Periplaneta americana* L. J. Exp. Zool. 130:159–182.
- Gilmour, D. 1965. The metabolism of insects. Edinburgh, Oliver and Boyd. xii + 195 + (1), p. 33.
- Heatly, N. G. 1935. The distribution of glycogen in the regions of amphibian gastrula; with a method for the microdetermination of glycogen. Biochem. J. 29:2568.
- Hill, L. and G. J. Goldsworthy. 1970. The utilisation of reserves during starvation of larvae of the migratory locust. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 36:61–70.
- Kilby, B. A. 1963. The biochemistry of the insect fat body. *In:* Advances in Insect Physiology 1:111–174. Academic Press, London, New York.
- Mayer, R. J. and D. J. Candy. 1969. Changes in energy reserves during flight of the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 31:409–418.
- Montgomery, R. 1957. Determination of glycogen. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 67:378-386.
- Nemec, V. 1977. Changes in saccharide content in various organs of *Pyrrhocoris apterus* during its reproductive cycle. Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov. 74(4):219–223.
- Polacek, I. and V. Kubista. 1960. Metabolism of the cockroach, *Periplaneta americana* during flight. Physiol. Bohemoslov. 9:228–234.
- Rockstein, M. 1978. The functions of carbohydrates in insect life processes. *In:* M. Rockstein (ed.). Biochemistry of Insects. Academic Press, New York.
- Strauss, J. 1911. Chemical composition during growth and metamorphosis: *Apis. Z. Biol.* 56: 347–397.
- Treherne, J. E. 1958. The absorption of glucose from the alimentary canal of the locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. J. Exp. Biol. 35:297–306.
- Wigglesworth, V. B. 1949. Metabolism during the flight and starvation of *Drosophila*. J. Exp. Biol. 26:150–163.
- Wyatt, G. R. 1967. The biochemistry of sugars and polysaccharides in insects. *In*: J. W. L. Beament, J. E. Treherne and V. B. Wigglesworth (eds.). Advances in Insect Physiology 4:287–347. Academic Press, New York.
- Yeager, J. F. and S. C. Munson. 1941. Glycogen in Prodenia. J. Agric. Res. 63:257-294.

Department of Zoology, Punjabi University, Patiala-147002, India.

Received for publication March 3, 1982